

## On the shape dependence of the translational partition function

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1990 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 23 4313

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/23/19/016>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 08:59

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# On the shape dependence of the translational partition function

G Taubmann

Department of Theoretical Chemistry, University of Ulm, Oberer Eselsberg, D-7900 Ulm, Federal Republic of Germany

Received 31 October 1989, in final form 30 April 1990

**Abstract.** The partition functions  $q$  of a particle moving in some two- and three-dimensional potentials with infinite barrier height were examined in order to investigate the influence of shape on the translational partition function. The partition functions of the square, rectangle, isosceles right triangle, equilateral triangle, circle and sphere were evaluated numerically or by suitable analytical methods. The results were fitted as empirical polynomials of square and cube roots of the classical limit  $\kappa$  of the partition function. The empirical relations were shown to hold over a wide range of  $\kappa$ . Their coefficients were compared with asymptotic expansions.

## 1. Introduction

The calculation of the partition function  $q$  of a particle in a box is usually based on the energy eigenvalues of a particle in a cube. The potential is given by zero inside the box and infinity on the boundary and on the rest of space. The summation over all states is approximated by an integration to give the well known formula [1 p 72]

$$q_{\text{trans}} \approx \kappa_3 = \left( \frac{2m\pi k_B T}{h^2} \right)^{3/2} V \quad (1)$$

where  $m$ ,  $k_B$ ,  $T$ ,  $h$  and  $V$  have their usual meanings. In equation (1) the dependence of  $q_{\text{trans}}$  on terms proportional to the surface of the box and on higher terms is neglected. It can be also seen from (1) that  $\kappa_3$  does not depend on the shape of the box.

In this paper the shape dependence of  $q$  for a particle moving in different boxes will be investigated. For some two- and three-dimensional potentials  $q$  will be evaluated either numerically or by appropriate summation techniques. The partition functions can be fitted to empirical formulae which are closely related to asymptotic expressions.

## 2. Computation of the partition functions

It is well known that the energy eigenvalues of a particle of mass  $m$  moving in some two- and three-dimensional boxes can be expressed as simple explicit formulae. For convenience the eigenvalues are listed in the appendix. In the simplest case the energies are of the form [2]:

$$E_n = \alpha n^2 \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (2)$$

and the partition function can be evaluated using the following expression

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha s^2} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \right)^{1/2} - \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (3)$$

which can be derived by the Euler Maclaurin sum formula [3 p 303], by  $\theta$  transformation [4 p 54] or by the Poisson sum formula [5 p 86]. For  $0 < \alpha < 0.2$  the deviation  $\epsilon$  is less than  $10^{-20}$ . By straightforward application of (3)  $q$  can be obtained for the one-dimensional box ( $q_b$ ), the rectangle ( $q_{\text{rect}}$ ) and the square ( $q_{\text{squa}}$ ). The partition functions are listed in the appendix.

Comparing the partition function  $q_{\text{iso}}$  of a particle in an isosceles right triangle of small side  $a$

$$q_{\text{iso}} = \sum_{n_1=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=n_1+1}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{h^2}{8ma^2k_{\text{B}}T} (n_1^2 + n_2^2) \right). \quad (4)$$

with  $q_{\text{squa}}$ , it can be easily seen that

$$q_{\text{iso}} = \frac{q_{\text{squa}} - q_b}{2} \quad q_b = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{h^2}{4ma^2k_{\text{B}}T} n^2 \right). \quad (5)$$

Both  $q_b$  and  $q_{\text{squa}}$  can be evaluated using (3). The partition functions for the equilateral triangle ( $q_{\text{equi}}$ ), circle ( $q_{\text{cir}}$ ) and sphere ( $q_{\text{sph}}$ ) were calculated numerically.

For a general right cylinder with an arbitrary base  $B$  and the height  $H$  the partition function  $q_C$  is given by

$$q_C = q_H q_B \quad (6)$$

where  $q_H$  and  $q_B$  are the partition functions of a particle in a one-dimensional box of length  $H$  and of the base  $B$ , respectively. By means of (6)  $q_C$  can be obtained for every right cylinder with known  $q_B$ .

### 3. Empirical formulae for the partition function

#### 3.1. Derivation of the empirical formulae

The numerical summations are fairly cumbersome, thus a simple empirical formula for the partition function would be valuable. As an example we consider a particle confined to a rectangular two-dimensional box of sides  $a$ ,  $b$  and of area  $A$ . We express the partition function  $q_{\text{rect}}$  (A1) in terms of its classical limit  $\kappa_2$ . The ratio between  $a$  and  $b$  is denoted by  $\gamma$ .

$$q_{\text{rect}} = \kappa_2 - \frac{1}{2} \left( \sqrt{\gamma} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \right) \sqrt{\kappa_2} + \frac{1}{4} \quad (7)$$

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{2m\pi k_{\text{B}}T}{h^2} A. \quad (8)$$

Equation (7) has the functional form

$$q_2 = \kappa_2 + g_2\sqrt{\kappa_2} + h_2 \tag{9}$$

where  $q_2$  is the partition function of a particle in a two-dimensional box. The coefficient  $g_2$  depends on the shape of the rectangle. I conjecture that a functional form (9) also holds, at least by approximation, for other two-dimensional boxes with coefficients  $g_2$  and  $h_2$  depending only on the shape of the box. Equation (A2) is used to derive an expression such as (9) for  $q_{\text{iso}}$ . In order to check whether a similar formula also holds for  $q_{\text{equi}}$  and  $q_{\text{cir}}$ ,  $g_2$  and  $h_2$  are determined by a least-squares fit [6] of the numerical results for  $q_{\text{equi}}$  and  $q_{\text{cir}}$ . A typical output for  $q_{\text{cir}}$  is shown in table 1. The coefficients and other details of the fits are given in table 2. With  $\kappa_2$  lying between 13 and 13 000, a maximal deviation of less than  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  between the fitted value and the exact numerical result is observed in the case of  $q_{\text{cir}}$ . For  $q_{\text{equi}}$  no deviation can be observed within the accuracy of our computer. This means that all two-dimensional potentials analysed in this paper obey, at least to a very good approximation, an expression of the form (9). In the case of potentials, the partition function of which can be evaluated by (6), equation (9) holds in the range for which (6) can be used.

**Table 1.** Typical output for a least-squares fit of  $q_{\text{cir}}$ .  $M$  is the mass of the atom (u),  $A$  the area of the circle ( $10^{-20} \text{ m}^2$ ),  $T$  the temperature (K),  $\kappa_2$  the value of the partition function for the asymptotic limit,  $q$  the exact partition function,  $q_{\text{fit}}$  the fitted value of the partition function,  $q_{\text{asy}}$ , asymptotic expansion for  $q$  from equation (22).  $g_2 = -0.886\ 234(4)$  and  $h_2 = 0.1672(2)$  are the empirical coefficients for (9) obtained from this fit. (The figures in parentheses give the standard deviation.)

$M$	$A$	$T$	$\kappa_2$	$q$	$q_{\text{fit}} - q$	$q_{\text{asy}}$	$q_{\text{asy}} - q$
4.003	10.0	100	13.13	10.0886	-0.001 50	10.0865	-0.002 05
4.003	10.0	200	26.26	21.8890	-0.000 88	21.8876	-0.001 41
4.003	10.0	300	39.39	33.9993	-0.000 62	33.9982	-0.001 15
4.003	20.0	400	105.05	96.1345	-0.000 20	96.1338	-0.000 69
4.003	20.0	600	157.58	146.6181	-0.000 09	146.6175	-0.000 56
4.003	20.0	1000	262.63	248.4312	0.000 02	248.4307	-0.000 43
4.003	30.0	1000	393.94	376.5163	0.000 07	376.5160	-0.000 35
4.003	70.0	900	827.27	801.9489	0.000 12	801.9487	-0.000 24
20.179	30.0	900	1787.43	1750.1286	0.000 10	1750.1284	-0.000 16
20.179	40.0	1000	2648.04	2602.6063	0.000 07	2602.6061	-0.000 13
20.179	70.0	1000	4634.08	4573.9146	-0.000 02	4573.9145	-0.000 10
20.179	90.0	1000	5958.10	5889.8589	-0.000 07	5889.8589	-0.000 09
39.948	40.0	100	524.23	504.1044	0.000 09	504.1040	-0.000 31
39.948	40.0	200	1048.46	1019.9279	0.000 12	1019.9277	-0.000 22
39.948	60.0	1000	7863.43	7785.0066	-0.000 15	7785.0065	-0.000 08
39.948	70.0	1000	9174.00	9089.2810	-0.000 19	9089.2810	-0.000 07
39.948	90.0	900	10615.63	10524.4831	-0.000 23	10524.4830	-0.000 06
39.948	100.0	1000	13105.71	13004.4227	-0.000 25	13004.4227	0.000 01

The partition function  $q_{\text{rpep}}$  of a particle in a rectangular parallelepipedon of sides  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  can be expressed as a function of its classical limit  $\kappa_3$  (1) as follows:

$$q_{\text{rpep}} = \kappa_3 + f_3 \kappa_3^{2/3} + g_3 \kappa_3^{1/3} + h_3 \tag{10}$$

$$f_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_1 \gamma_2}{(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)^{2/3}} \quad g_3 = \frac{1}{4} \frac{1 + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2}{(\gamma_1 \gamma_2)^{1/3}}$$

$$h_3 = -\frac{1}{8} \quad b = \gamma_1 a \quad c = \gamma_2 a.$$

**Table 2.** Coefficients in the empirical equations. The standard deviation is given in parentheses.

$q$	$f$	$g$	$h$	Equation
$q_{\text{equi}}$	—	$-1.139\ 753\ 528\ 477\dagger$	$0.333\ 333\ 333\ 33\dagger$	(9)
$q_{\text{cir}}$	—	$-0.886\ 234\ 1(4)$	$0.167\ 23(2)$	(9)
$q_{\text{sph}}$	$-1.208\ 994\ 027(8)$	$0.413\ 578(1)$	$-0.021\ 22(3)$	(10)

† No standard deviation observed.

If an expression such as (9) holds for the base contribution  $q_B$  to the partition function of a right cylinder with height  $H$  and an area of the base  $A$ , a formula similar to (10) can be derived using equations (1) and (6).

$$q_c = \kappa_3 + f_3 \kappa_3^{2/3} + g_3 \kappa_3^{1/3} + h_3 \tag{11}$$

where

$$f_3 = \frac{g_2 \gamma_c - \frac{1}{2}}{\gamma_c^{2/3}} \quad g_3 = \frac{h_2 - g_2/2}{\gamma_c^{1/3}} \quad h_3 = -\frac{1}{2} h_2 \quad H = \gamma_c \sqrt{A}.$$

Coefficients  $f_3$ ,  $g_3$  and  $h_3$  for a sphere were obtained by a least-squares fit of the numerical data of  $q_{\text{sph}}$ . In the fit with  $\kappa_3$  between 4 and 5400 000, a maximal deviation of  $8 \times 10^{-4}$  was observed. The results are listed in table 2.

The lower bound of the range of validity of (9) and (11) for  $q_{\text{cir}}$ ,  $q_{\text{equi}}$  and  $q_{\text{sph}}$  was tested numerically. For  $\kappa_{2(3)} \geq 1$  the relative error in  $q$  was less than 1%, 0.005% and 2.5%, respectively.

### 3.2. Asymptotic expansions of the partition function

The coefficient  $g_2$  in (9) is related in a simple manner with the area  $A$  and the perimeter  $p$  of the potential

$$g_2 \approx -\frac{p}{4\sqrt{A}}. \tag{12}$$

Relation (12) holds exactly for all two-dimensional potentials considered in this paper except for  $q_{\text{cir}}$ , for which it is fulfilled to a good approximation. We shall show that (12) is an asymptotic expansion of  $g_2$ .

The two-dimensional equation

$$\Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial G \tag{13}$$

is defined in a simply connected domain of area  $A$ . The boundary  $\partial G$  of perimeter  $p$  has smooth arcs of length  $\zeta_i$  and corners of angle  $\alpha_i$ . For

$$W(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_n t} \quad \lambda_n: \text{eigenvalues of (13)} \tag{14}$$

the following asymptotic expansion holds as  $t \rightarrow +0$  [7 p 37, 8]:

$$W(t) \asymp \frac{A}{4\pi t} - \frac{p}{8\sqrt{\pi t}} + \sum_j c(\alpha_j) + \sum_i b(\zeta_i) \tag{15}$$

**Table 3.** Coefficients in the asymptotic expansions. The difference of the coefficients between the asymptotic and empirical equations is given in parentheses.

$q$	$F$	$G$	$H$
$q_{\text{equi}}$	—	-1.139 753 5284†	0.333 333 33†
$q_{\text{cir}}$	—	-0.886 227(7)	0.166 7(-5)
$q_{\text{sph}}$	-1.208 993 96(6)	0.413 567(-9)	0.00(2)‡

† No deviation observed.

‡  $H_3$  for the sphere is exactly zero.

where

$$b(\zeta_i) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{\zeta_i} k(l) dl \quad k(l): \text{curvature} \tag{16}$$

and

$$c(\alpha_i) = \frac{1}{24} \left( \frac{\pi}{\alpha_i} - \frac{\alpha_i}{\pi} \right). \tag{17}$$

Comparing the Schrödinger equation of a particle in a box

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{8\pi^2 m} \Delta\psi = E\psi \quad \psi = 0 \text{ on } \partial G \tag{18}$$

with (13) and the partition function  $q_2$

$$q_2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{E_n}{k_B T}\right) \tag{19}$$

with (14) we obtain

$$\lambda_n = \frac{8\pi^2 m E_n}{\hbar^2} \tag{20}$$

and

$$t = \frac{\hbar^2}{8\pi^2 m k_B T}. \tag{21}$$

Substituting (20) and (21) into (15), we get the asymptotic expansion

$$q_2 \asymp \kappa_2 + G_2 \sqrt{\kappa_2} + H_2 \quad \kappa_2 \rightarrow \infty \tag{22}$$

$$G_2 = -\frac{P}{4\sqrt{A}}$$

which is in agreement with (12). The constant terms  $H_2$

$$H_2 = \sum_j c(\alpha_j) + \sum_i b(\zeta_i) \tag{23}$$

were evaluated for the potentials considered here. They are compared with the results of the numerical fits in table 3.

The coefficient  $f_3$  in the expansion (11) of the partition function of a right cylinder with surface  $S$  and volume  $V$  can be simplified using (12)

$$f_3 = -\frac{S}{4V^{2/3}}. \quad (24)$$

We now consider a three-dimensional equation (13) defined in a smooth convex domain. For  $W(t)$  (14) the following asymptotic expansion holds as  $t \rightarrow 0$  [9]:

$$W(t) \asymp \frac{V}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} - \frac{S}{16\pi t} + \frac{1}{12\pi\sqrt{4\pi t}} \iint_S (k_1 + k_2) dS \\ + \frac{1}{512\pi} \iint_S (k_1 - k_2)^2 dS \quad k_1, k_2: \text{principal curvatures.} \quad (25)$$

Using (20) and (21) the following asymptotic expression for  $q_3$  can be obtained:

$$q_3 \asymp \kappa_3 + F_3(\kappa_3)^{2/3} + G_3(\kappa_3)^{1/3} + H_3 \quad (26)$$

where

$$F_3 = -\frac{S}{4V^{2/3}} \quad G_3 = \frac{1}{12\pi V^{1/3}} \iint_S (k_1 + k_2) dS \quad H_3 = \frac{1}{512\pi} \iint_S (k_1 - k_2)^2 dS.$$

For a spherical domain (26) reads:

$$q_{\text{sph}} \asymp \kappa_3 - \left(\frac{9\pi}{16}\right)^{1/3} \kappa_3^{2/3} + \left(\frac{2}{9\pi}\right)^{1/3} \kappa_3^{1/3} \quad \kappa_3 \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

The constant term vanishes in expansion (27). The coefficients determined from the numerical results are compared with (27) in table 3.

#### 4. Conclusion

The partition function of a particle moving two-dimensionally in a square, in a rectangle, in an isosceles right triangle, in an equilateral triangle, in a circle and in the three-dimensional potential of the corresponding right cylinders and in a sphere were calculated either by numerical summation or by suitable analytical summation techniques. It was observed that for a particle moving in one of the two- or three-dimensional infinite hard wall cavities mentioned above, the partition function can be expressed to a very good approximation by a simple empirical formula. The empirical formulae were shown to have the same form as asymptotic expansions for the partition function in the limit of  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . The parameters in the empirical formulae and in the asymptotic expressions differ only slightly. The empirical formulae allow a simple calculation of the partition function of a particle like He or  $H_2$  moving in a small box at low temperatures, e.g. for an atom in a cavity in a liquid.

The good agreement between the numerical results and the asymptotic expansions suggests the use of the expansions (15) and (25) for other two- and three-dimensional domains, if their eigenvalues cannot be calculated easily and if the boundaries are sufficiently regular. A detailed investigation on the relation between the shape of a box and the deviation between the asymptotic expansion and the exact partition function is under way.

## Acknowledgments

The author wishes to thank W Witschel for suggesting the problem and for helpful discussions. The interest of R Baltin is gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by the 'Eberhard Stiftung' and by the 'Fonds der Chemischen Industrie'.

## Appendix

The energies  $E$ , degeneracies  $g$ , and the partition functions  $q$  (if an analytical expression exists) of a particle of mass  $m$  moving in boxes of various shapes are listed below.

*Rectangle* of sides  $a$  and  $b$  (square analogous) [2]:

$$E_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{h^2}{8m} \left( \frac{n_1^2}{a^2} + \frac{n_2^2}{b^2} \right) \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \quad g = 1$$

$$q_{\text{rect}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \frac{8\pi m k_B T a^2}{h^2} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right] \left[ \left( \frac{8\pi m k_B T b^2}{h^2} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right]. \quad (\text{A1})$$

*Isosceles right triangle* with a small side of  $a$  [2]:

$$E_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{h^2}{8ma^2} (n_1^2 + n_2^2) \quad n_2 > n_1 \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \quad g = 1$$

$$q_{\text{iso}} = \frac{m\pi a^2 k_B T}{h^2} - \left( \frac{m\pi a^2 k_B T}{2h^2} \right)^{1/2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \right) + \frac{3}{8}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

*Equilateral triangle* of side  $a$  [8]:

$$E_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{2h^2}{9ma^2} (n_1^2 + n_2^2 - n_1 n_2) \quad n_1 > n_2 \quad n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \quad g = 1. \quad (\text{A3})$$

*Circle* of radius  $R_0$  [7 p 37]:

$$E_{n,s} = \frac{h^2 j_{n,s}^2}{8\pi^2 m R_0^2} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (\text{A4})$$

where  $j_{n,s}$  is the  $s$ th positive zero of the Bessel function  $J_n(x)$  of the first kind,  $g_n = 2$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and 1 for  $n = 0$ . For the calculation of  $q_{\text{cir}}$  all about 125 000  $j_{n,s}$  of 1000 or below were used.

*Sphere* of radius  $R_0$  [10 p 96]:

$$E_{l,s} = \frac{h^2 \eta_{l,s}^2}{8\pi^2 m R_0^2} \quad s \in \mathbb{N} \quad l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad g_l = 2l + 1 \quad (\text{A5})$$



where  $\eta_{l,s}$  is the  $s$ th positive zero of  $J_{l+1/2}(x)$ . For the calculation of  $q_{\text{sph}}$  all about 500 000  $\eta_{l,s}$  of 2000 or less were used.

## References

- [1] Hála E and Boublik T 1970 *Einführung in die Statistische Thermodynamik* (Braunschweig: Vieweg)
- [2] Itzykson C and Luck J M 1976 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **19** 211–39
- [3] Dieudonné J 1968 *Calcul Infinitésimal* (Paris)
- [4] Fowler R H 1966 *Statistical Mechanics* 2nd edn (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [5] Lighthill M J 1966 *Einführung in die Theorie der Fourieranalysis und der verallgemeinerten Funktionen* (Mannheim)
- [6] Typke V 1981 *Unterprogramme FIT4CS und FIT8CS* (Wiblingen)
- [7] Baltes H P and Hilf E R 1976 *Spectra of Finite Systems* (Mannheim)
- [8] Stewartson K and Waechter R T 1971 *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **69** 353–63
- [9] Waechter R T 1972 *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **72** 439–47
- [10] Flügge S 1976 *Rechenmethoden der Quantentheorie* 3rd edn (Berlin: Springer)